Virtual University of Pakistan

Assessment Team Report

Department: Management Science
Associate Degree in Human Resource
Management

Assessment Cycle: Cycle-III Assessment Year: 2023-24

Criteria Referenced Evaluation

	Excellent Performance in all areas.	Good to Excellent Performance in all areas.	Good Performance for most areas *	Fair Performance in most of the	Poor Performance in most of the areas.	Score
Criterion 1 - Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes		✓				3.67
Criterion 2 - Curriculum Design and Organization	✓					17.50
Criterion 3 - Laboratories and Computing Facilities	✓					9.33
Criterion 4 - Student Support and Advising		✓				8.00
Criterion 5 - Process Control		✓				11.18
Criterion 6 - Faculty			✓			13.14
Criterion 7 - Institutional Facilities			✓			6.67
Criterion 8 - Institutional Support				✓		5.00

^{*} No poor performance in any areas.

Signagure of AT:	
Name & Designation:	
A Min	

Signagure of DQE Cordinator:

Name & Designation:

Criteri	on 1 – Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes					Weight =	0.05	
Factor	rs Score		5	4	3	2 1		
Does the Program have documented measurable objectives that support faculty / college and institution mission statements?			\square					
2	Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating students?				\square			
3 Do these outcomes support the Program objectives?				\square				
4 Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?								
5	Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using quantifiable r	neasures?	V					
6	Is the result of the Program Assessment documented?			Ø				
Total Encircled Value (TV)			5	8	9	0	0	
Score 1 (S1) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =					3.67			
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:							
1	Where these program mission and objective are on the website? Either these are approved or not? Are program objectives placed on webpage?	1						
2	The program mission statement is not up to the mark. It needs to be revamped.	2						
3	5 Minor difference in Program objectives from website 1.2.3	3						
4	Program outcome looks too narrow, e,g "to learn management functions."	4						
5	1.2.1 Page 19 needs some formatting.	5						
6	1.2.1 Program outcomes must be reviewed. Program outcome 6 is too narrow. Do we aim to produce only HR managers?	6						
7	Employers' Survey Results – It is better to conduct this survey again to check the market standing of the program.	7						
8	Only 4 respondents which does not prove its generalizability. Increase the respondents. 1.2.3.1Page 21(point 11) Covid Point needs to be write again.	8						
9	1.2.3.3 Mention total number of respondents. Please check the statement Page 27 "Beneficial in creating time management habits, sharpening ladgmental kills become a city of the publication and bridge the graph between	9						

Criterio	on 2 — Curriculum Design and Organization					Weight =	0.20
Factor	rs Score		5	4	3	2	1
1	Is the curriculum consistent?			V			
2	Does the curriculum support the program's documented objectives?				V		
3	Are theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design stressed within the core material?	e program's		V			
4	Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respective accredite	ntion bodies?					
5	Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC and the respectouncils / accreditation bodies?	ctive	$\overline{\checkmark}$				
6	Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and professional and other direquirements as laid down by the respective / accreditation bodies / councils?	scipline		V			
7	7 Is the information technology component integrated throughout the program?						
8	8 Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in the program?		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
Total Encircled Value (TV)			20	12	3	0	0
	Score 2 (S2) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				17.50		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:						
1	2.1.2.2. Semester-Wise Study Scheme - The curriculum involves certain courses which are inconsistent and un-supportive to the program's documented objectives. In fact, this program needs to teach applied and	1					
2	2.1.2.2 Table 2-1 Human Resource Management should be offered in first semester.	2					
3	Almost 20 courses contribute less than 25% to PLO1, more than 80% courses don't contribute to PLO2 and PLO3, almost 14 courses contribute less than 50 % in PLO4	3					
4	Constitution of Pakistan must be adde, Natural sciences course must be offered.	4					
5	The program mission statement is not up to the mark. It needs to be revamped.	5					
6	Program outcome looks too narrow, e,g "to learn management functions."	6					
7	Program outcomes must be reviewed. Program outcome 6 is too narrow. Do we aim to produce only HR managers?	7					
8	Only 4 respondents which does not prove its generalizability. Increase the respondents. 1.2.3.1Page 21(point 11) Covid Point needs to be write again. 1.2.3.3 Mention total number of respondents. Please check the statement	8					
9	Ovell, all performance assessment shows that performance of the student is poor in most of the courses after Spring 2020 onwards especially in 3rd and 4th semester. Annexure B shows that most of the students are securing C, D and F grades in courses from	9					
	, and a second of the second o						

Criter	Criterion 3 — Laboratories and Computing Facilities					0.10	
Factors Score		5	4	3	2	1	
1	Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiments available and readily accessible to faculty and students?						
2	Are there adequate number of support personnel for instruction and maintaining the laboratories?						
3	3 Are the university's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support the program objectives?						
	Total Encircled Value (TV)			4	0	0	0
	Score 3 (S3) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				9.33		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:						
1	Some text is yellow highlighted on Page 51. Why? Some annexures are also yellow highlighted.	1					
2	Check Table 3.1	2					

Criteri	on 4 – Student Support and Advising				Weight =	0.10		
Factor	rs Score	5	4	3	2	1		
1	Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the students to complete the program in a timely manner?	V						
2	Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interaction between the students, faculty and teaching assistants?		\square					
3	Does the university provide academic advising on course decisions and career choices to all students?			V				
Total Encircled Value (TV)			4	3	0	0		
Score 4 (S4) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				8.00				
	<u> </u>							
Comments/Observations/Key Findings:								
1	4.2.1.3(Add annexure of previous conducted sessions) 1							

Criteri	on 5 – Process Control				Weight =	0.15
Facto	rs Score	5	4	3	2	1
1	Is the process to enroll students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?		V			
2	Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	B 🗆	V			
3	Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?	\square				
4	Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?			V		
5	Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?	Ø				
6	Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution mission?			V		
7	Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?		Ø			
8	Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphas active learning and that course learning outcomes are met?	ze		V		
9	Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?					
10	Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program base of standards and documented procedures?	on	Ø			
11	Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?			\square		
Total Encircled Value (TV)) 10	16	15	0	0
Score 5 (S5) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				11.18		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:					
1	Vacancies are not announced in the relevant filed.					

Criter	ion 6 — Faculty					Weight =	= 0.20
Facto	ors Score		5	4	3	2	1
1	Are there enough full-time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the procourses with continuity and stability?	ogram areas /			\square		
2	Are the qualifications and interests of faculty members sufficient to teach all course modify and update courses and curricula?	s, plan,			\square		
3	Do the faculty members posses a level of competence that would be obtained throug work in the discipline?	gh graduate	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$				
4	· ·			$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$			
5	Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?				Ø		
6	6 Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?					V	
7	Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?				Ø		
	Total Encircled Va	lue (TV)	5	4	12	2	0
	Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				13.14		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:						
1	6.6.4 Page 74 Qualification of Mr. Saadat Nisar is missing.	1					
2	6.5.5 Qualification of faculty members must be updated. e.g Nazia Rafiq	2					
3	The column "Area/Specialization" in Table 6.1.6 should be started with HRM as these people are more associated with the Management program.	3					
4	Faculty members are not giving proper time to research to remain updated in their discipline.	4					
5	There is also shortage of faculty access to research Journals.	5					
Criter	ion 7 – Institutional Facilities					Weight =	0.10
Facto	ors Score		5	4	3	2	1
1	Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning	<u>;</u> ?		☑			
2	Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequate	-					
3	Are the class rooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping faculty of their responsibilities?	carry out		Ø			
	Total Encircled Va	lue (TV)	0	8	0	2	0
	Score 7 (S7) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				6.67		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:						
1	, , , , ,	1					
1	Lack of reference e-books and e-library access.	1					
Criter	ion 8 — Institutional Support					Weight =	0.10
Facto	Factors Score		5	4	3	2	1
1	1 Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?				\square		
2	Are there an adequate number of high quality graduate students, teaching assistants and Ph.D. students?					☑	
	Total Encircled Val	lue (TV)	0	0	3	2	0
	Score 8 (S8) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				5.00		
	Comments/Observations/Key Findings:						

OVERALL ASSESSMENT SCORE = S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6 + S7 + S8= 3.6 + 17.5 + 9.33 + 8.00 + 11.1 + 13.1 + 6.67 + 5.00= 74.49 / 100

Overall Comments by Assessment Team:

- Enternship course must not be offered. Need to offer ICT based courses like typing, email writing etc,
 Bands on training of skill development courses mut be developed and recorded. To make this program market-oriented, the University should consider induction of front-end functional courses which are applied in nature.
- More specialization courses should be included Like Diversity Management, Compensation & Benefits, Rewards Systems, Labor Laws etc. Most of the suggested courses in post progress report at page number 11 are of more high level.
- $\bullet \hbox{\tt @lassification of "Compulsory, foundation, major, and elective" should be updated on website. }$
- $\bullet \hbox{\tt I}{\it internship placement of ficer must be hired to help the students to identify the opportunities}.$
- Bor the career counseling of students, seminars and workshops should be organized at least once in semester and experts from industries should be invited to deliver the focused talk.

Comments by DQE Coordinator:		